

Family Name	Almond
Given Name	Nicola
Person ID	1286448
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Almond
Given Name	Nicola
Person ID	1286448
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I have a number of concerns about the soundness of the plans to build on the area JPA 19. Firstly, I do not understand why there is a need to build on green belt sites when there are so many brown field sites in and around Rochdale that could be used. Why destroy green belt when many other viable (although less profitable!) solutions exist?</p> <p>I care passionately about the availability of sports amenities for everyone, but in particular for children. Removing green belt protection from the Football, Tennis and Cricket clubs must increase the likelihood that these sites will also be developed in the future. We are already losing school playing fields at an incredible rate and we should not put at risk those public sports facilities that remain. We owe this to the wellbeing of current and future generations of children.</p> <p>The proposed site supports a considerable number of animal and bird species and as such has significant environmental value. The concreting over of fields combined with the removal of trees and hedgerows must introduce an increased flood risk in an area that is already prone to some flooding. That just does not make sense. Aren't we supposed to be protecting as much of our natural environment as possible in an effort to combat climate change?</p> <p>I believe there are a whole plethora of reasons that the plans are not sound, but the above are the ones closest to my heart.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	I would like to see the removal of JPA 19 Bamford/Norden from the Places for Everyone plans.

**or soundness matters
you have identified
above.**